

Comments for 6/22/2022

Below please find comments for various items I would like clarity on.

Field

item:

4b. replacement of steel pipe. MATH incorrect. Should be \$10.15 given your parameters. Are you replacing with Ductile Iron, an expensive solution, or C900, widely used in the industry?

5. Nothing new

6. Please rewrite. Hard to understand. Have these been approved by the Board?

12. SCADA not updated? I beg to differ. Check your facts.

13 and 14. Commercial meters. Where are these? The mall has not been filled for at least 20 years. If these are in the mall, please consider the ROI as these may not bring in as much income as new ones can provide. Not knowing what strip mall you are speaking of, the normal use of a small office would take years to pay back, if ever. If they are vacant. They may never be used again. How long have we not been receiving income from the apartments you speak of?

15. Well 3 123TCP. We have been paid for this during the 2015 time frame, I believe. We received a settlement of \$100k. That money was used to pay down the CalPERS shortage. The sentence starting "Prior Board" is extremely burdensome to digest.

16. Well 3. Who is MMM? Is this different than the 123TCP in item 15?

17. Well 8 not being used. What is the source of this contamination?

20. High School issues. The \$80,000 was waived by the County as Eastern was being extensively repaired and this was a way to get the SJUSD to do this work. The funding of an additional well would be great as there seems to be no place available to put a new well except possibly Orville Wright Park. However, a new well must bear in mind the cone of depression to not affect well 6B.

24g3. Have not heard about this hazard mitigation funding. Please elaborate.

24i. Another employee? Does this go with 24g3?

24gii. Grant searcher and writer. Has this started? If this is only for FEMA and LAFCO has stated they will not wait for LAFCO, should we spend this money? Has this started?

24k. New utility truck. We have had a few vehicles broken into. Wondering if this is a prudent plan? While these thefts occurred at the employee's home, the field office is not much more secure.

33. Again, LAFCO stated they would not wait for FEMA funding,

34. Who are they and what have they found so far?

39. HS Tech Memo. This makes no sense. Last sentence needs rewriting.

41. Are you alluding to two 218's?

42. Makes no sense.

45. Consolidation NEEDS to be studied,

46. Very hard to understand. Needs rewriting.

48. This is wrong. Desmond's legal staff stated he is not in control of our District in their letter to the GJ dated 5/12/2022. Paragraph 2 of this letter supports my statement,

51. Rework. This is not needed except the last line.

52. Sounds like you are throwing LAFCO ED under the bus.

61 COLA. No COLA since 2018. When were the two employees hired full time? There was no one to pay COLA to for quite a while.

69. Brown Act. As stated during the special meeting this week, this is not a Brown Act item, simply one allowing more privacy in communications.

Trish Harrington